

## PC2: Specialization and Factor Endowment

Consider a small open economy producing two goods ( $i = 1, 2$ ) out of two production factors (labor and capital). Capital and labor are mobile across industries *within* a country, but they are not mobile *internationally*.

The country is endowed with a combination ( $K, L$ ) of capital and labor and technology is embedded in the following production functions (for goods 1 and 2, respectively):

$$Y_1 = F_1(K_1, L_1) = K_1^\alpha L_1^{1-\alpha} \quad Y_2 = F_2(K_2, L_2) = K_2^\beta L_2^{1-\beta} \quad 0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$$

In the following, we will denote  $k_i = K_i/L_i$  the capital-labor combination used in the production of good  $i$  ( $i=1,2$ ), and  $k = K/L$  the capital-labor combination available in the country.

Let  $w$  and  $r$  be the unit costs of labor and of capital,  $p = p_1/p_2$  the relative price of goods 1 and 2 and  $\omega = w/r$  the relative compensation of production factors. Firms are perfectly competitive on the two good markets and on the markets for production factors.

1. Derive the optimal levels of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  as a function of  $\omega$ . Explain the relationship between  $k_i$  and  $\omega$ . Show that, at the producer's equilibrium,  $\kappa = k_2/k_1$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  only and that  $\kappa > 1$ . Plot  $k_1^{-1}(\omega)$  and  $k_2^{-1}(\omega)$  in the  $(k, \omega)$  space. Show that it is only when  $\omega$  lies within a segment  $(\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\max})$  that the economy does not specialize in the production of a single good. In what follows, we assume that:  $\omega_{\min} < \omega < \omega_{\max}$ .
2. The Stolper-Samuelson theorem. Noting that production functions can be rewritten as  $Y_1 = k_1^\alpha L_1$  and  $Y_2 = k_2^\beta L_2$ , compute the marginal rate of transformation -  $dY_2/dY_1|_{\omega=\text{cst}}$  as a function of  $\omega$ . What is the relationship between  $p$  and  $\omega$  in the competitive equilibrium?

Infer from this relationship that *a rise of the relative price of the labor-intensive good goes together with a rise in the relative compensation of labor*. Give real-life examples of this outcome. What if  $\omega = \omega_{\min}$ , or if  $\omega = \omega_{\max}$  (full specialization)?

3. The Rybczynski theorem. Noting that:

$$k_1 L_1 = K - k_2(L - L_1) \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 L_2 = K - k_1(L - L_2),$$

write  $L_1/L$  and  $L_2/L$  as functions of  $k, k_1$  and  $k_2$ . Compute the impact of a variation in  $L$  for employment, and then for output in both sectors, when  $\omega$  and  $K$  are held constant.

Infer from this relationship that *when total labor force increases, production increases in the labor-intensive industry and it is reduced in the capital-intensive industry*.

4. Show that the relative production of the two goods can be written:  $\frac{Y_1}{Y_2} = -\frac{k_1^\alpha}{k_2^\beta} \left( \frac{k - k_2}{k - k_1} \right)$ .  
 Suppose that consumers' utility functions are such that consumptions of the two goods have equal shares in their budgets ( $C_2 = pC_1$ ). What would be the equilibrium price  $p$  in autarky? How does  $\omega$  relate to this price?
5. Assume that on the world market the relative price of good 1 in terms of good 2 is lower than the one that would be obtained in the small country in autarky. What is the implication of opening up the economy for the relative compensation  $\omega$ ?